Candidate Surveys for August 28, 2018 Runoff Election

Each election year since 1980 we have surveyed candidates for public office regarding various life issues so that Oklahoma's pro-life citizens may have accurate information about candidates' positions on these important questions. Oklahomans For Life is our statewide right-to-life organization, affiliated with the National Right to Life Committee. In anticipation of the Runoff Election on Tuesday, August 28, 2018, Oklahomans For Life asked the twelve questions below of candidates for statewide office and for the Oklahoma State Senate and the Oklahoma State House of Representatives. We asked candidates for Statewide office – since they would not be in a position literally to "vote for"legislation – to indicate by their answers whether they "support" such legislation. For state Senate and House races, the counties or partial counties (marked by an asterisk) in the district are indicated after the district number. On each question, a "yes" answer indicates agreement with the position of Oklahomans For Life. The abbreviation after a candidate's name indicates party affiliation (Republican, Democrat, Libertarian, or Independent). The candidates' answers to each of the twelve questions are indicated. Candidates for Congress were surveyed using questions from National Right to Life; the candidates' responses and the questions they were asked appear below, following the state legislative races.

Oklahomans For Life 2018 Candidate Survey

On each question, a "yes" answer indicates agreement with the position of Oklahomans For Life.

Question 1: Upon reversal of the U.S. Supreme Court's Roe v. Wade decision, will you vote for a law that would protect the lives of unborn children and prohibit abortion except to prevent the death of the mother? Yes No	
Question 2: If efforts to enact such a law failed, will you vote for a law that would prohibit abortion except when necessary to prevent the death of the mother, or when the pregnancy is the result of forcible rape (reported to law enforcement authorities within seven days), or when the pregnancy resulted from an act of incest committed against a minor (with the perpetrator reported to law enforcement authorities)? Yes No	
Question 3: There is evidence nationwide that statutory rapists who impregnate their minor victims have used abortion as a means to cover up the evidence of their crimes. In some cases, it appears the abortionists have performed an abortion on a minor girl, even without her parents' knowledge, wher her statutory rapist had brought her to the abortion facility and paid for the abortion. Will you vote for a law that would prohibit the state from providing Medicaid contracts to any entity or affiliate which has been found guilty of failing to report statutory rape under mandatory child-abuse reporting laws? Yes No	า or
Ouestion 4: Medical science has recently developed a method for reversing the effects of a shemical	

Question 4: Medical science has recently developed a method for reversing the effects of a chemical abortion and saving the life of an unborn child when only the first chemical in the multi-step process of a chemical abortion has been ingested by the mother. The protocol involves first performing an ultrasound to confirm that the unborn child is alive, and if so, progesterone is prescribed for the

duration of the first trimester. The physicians who have developed the reversal method have received thousands of calls regarding women who have changed their minds about continuing the process of a chemical abortion, and they have testified that at least 250 babies have been saved by the reversal procedure. Currently five states have laws providing that women be given information about the possibility of reversing the intended effects of a chemical abortion as part of their informed consent materials prior to undergoing a chemical abortion. Will you vote for a law providing that such information be given to mothers prior to commencing a chemical-abortion procedure? Yes No
Question 5: There is currently an aggressive drive underway to legalize euthanasia and assisted suicide across the United States. Causing the starvation or dehydration deaths of persons with disabilities and of incompetent patients who are deemed to be a burden on others or to have a diminished "quality of life" is the euthanasia movement's interim goal while they seek eventual public acceptance of killing by lethal injection or by lethal overdose of controlled substances/dangerous drugs. Oklahoma's Hydration and Nutrition for Incompetent Patients Act protects incompetent persons who have not directed that they be deprived of food and water so they will not be victims of death by starvation or dehydration. Will you oppose any attempt to repeal or weaken this protective law? Yes No
Question 6: Oklahoma's Nondiscrimination in Treatment Act requires that a health care provider shall not deny to a patient a life-preserving health care service the provider provides to other patients, and the provision of which is directed by the patient or a person authorized to make health care decisions for the patient: 1) On the basis of a view that treats extending the life of an elderly, disabled, or terminally ill individual as of lower value than extending the life of an individual who is younger, nondisabled, or not terminally ill; or 2) On the basis of disagreement with how the patient or person authorized to make health care decisions for the patient values the tradeoff between extending the length of the patient's life and the risk of disability. Will you oppose any attempt to repeal or weaken this protective law? Yes No
Question 7: Oklahoma law protects against the involuntary denial of life-saving medical treatment by requiring that if a health-care provider's refusal to comply with a decision made by or on behalf of a patient to receive life-saving medical treatment would, in reasonable medical judgment, be likely to result in the patient's death, then the health-care provider must provide the life-saving treatment pending transfer of the patient to a willing provider. Will you oppose any attempt to repeal or weaken this protective law? Yes No

Question 8: Oklahoma's advance directive (living will) law recognizes the particular importance of food and water. In order to ensure truly informed consent, our law requires a separately-initialed paragraph in an advance directive in order for artificial administration of food and water to be rejected. Some proposals have been made that would, instead, combine food and water with "medical treatment" such as respirators or surgery, so that choosing to forego life-sustaining medical treatment would be assumed to mean the individual wanted to die by starvation and dehydration. In order to avoid confusion and protect individuals who expect to die from their underlying illness or injury, not from starvation or dehydration, will you vote against any bill that would repeal or weaken

the existing requiremer rejection of food and w	, ,	tialed provis	sion in an advance directive to indicate the
Yes No			
	-		rescriptions or lethal injections to one in committing suicide ("active
of death on death certification the actual cause of dear of assisted suicide, seek the vulnerable, the elder assisting suicide to disgrassisted suicide from gassisted suicide from gas	ficates. They suggest th in such cases. Seve king to hide the growing the poor, and the uise what is occurring a foothold in O	listing an underal states had ing harm and edepressed, g. A prerequiklahoma is h	ommending fraudulent reporting of the cause inderlying illness, instead of suicide, to conceal ave legalized assisted suicide, and promoters id pressure that such utilitarian laws inflict on a l, are encouraging those who participate in hisite for preventing the lethal practice of thonest reporting of the actual cause of suicide by requiring accurate reporting of the
that their unborn child	may have Down Sync ation about life-affirn	lrome. Will y	vily favoring abortion when given a diagnosis you support a law requiring that up-to-date, ces for families with a Down Syndrome child
pro-life laws, including looklahoma Supreme Couchallenges Oklahoma's likely lose, but rather in down the laws. Given the tenure of current stathe fact that 32 other states.	laws which in other so urt has become so ho pro-life laws, they no state court, to give to he fact that the state tate Supreme Court ju tates impose a manda	tates have sustile to the rolonger file so he Oklahom Constitution ustices by enatory retiren	r struck down as "unconstitutional" several survived federal court challenges. The right to life that, when the abortion industry suit in federal court, where they would most has Supreme Court the opportunity to strike in authorizes the Legislature to limit, by statute, hacting a mandatory retirement age, and given ment age on their states' Supreme Court is retirement age for Oklahoma Supreme Court
Candidate responses ar	e below.		
CTATELLINE OFFIC	FC		
STATEWIDE OFFIC	ES		Question number
GOVERNOR MICK	CORNETT	Party Rep	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 yes

Rep

KEVIN

STITT

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

DANA	MURPHY	Rep	yes
MATT	PINNELL	Rep	yes

STATE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR

CINDY	BYRD	Rep	yes
CHARLIE	PRATER	Rep	yes

ATTORNEY GENERAL

MIKE	HUNTER	Rep	yes
GENTNER	DRUMMOND	Rep	yes

SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

JOY HOFMEISTER	Rep	Survey not returned to us.	
----------------	-----	----------------------------	--

COMMISSIONER OF LABOR

CATHY	COSTELLO	Rep	yes
LESLIE	OSBORN	Rep	yes

CORPORATION COMMISSIONER

BRIAN	BINGMAN	Rep	yes
BOB	ANTHONY	Rep	Survey not returned to us.

STATE SENATE

(To learn your Senate District #, go to our website www.OkForLife.org and click on "Find Your Legislators," then enter your address and scroll down to the photo of your current senator under "State Senate." Click on the photo. The number just below your senator's name is your Senate district number. Or you could get your district number by phoning your County Election Board and telling them your address. Half of the Senate is up for election every two years. Even-numbered districts are up for election this year.)

				Que	stio	n nu	mbe	er							
			Party	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
DISTRICT 10 -	*Kay, *Osage														
BILL		COLEMAN	Rep	yes	yes y	yes y	yes y	es '	yes y	yes	yes y	⁄es	yes	yes	yes
AMBER		ROBERTS	Rep	yes	yes y	yes y	yes y	es '	yes v	yes	yes y	/es	yes	yes	yes
DISTRICT 16 -	*Cleveland														
ED		CROCKER	Rep	no	yes y	yes y	yes y	es '	yes v	yes	yes y	/es	yes	yes	no
BECKI		MALDONADO	Rep	no	no r	no y	yes y	es '	yes y	yes	yes y	es	yes	yes	no
CLAUDIA		GRIFFITH	Dem	Surv	ey n	not r	etur	nec	l to	us.					
MARY	B.	BOREN	Dem	Surv	ey n	not r	etur	nec	l to	us.					
ALEISHA		KARJALA	Dem	Surv	ey r	not r	etur	nec	l to	us.					

DISTRICT 30 - *Oklahoma

LORI **CALLAHAN** Rep Survey not returned to us. **JOHN** SYMCOX Rep Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 36 - *Tulsa, *Wagoner

JOHN HASTE Rep yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

BILL DAY Survey not returned to us. Rep

STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

(To learn your State House District #, go to our website www.OkForLife.org and click on "Find Your Legislators," then enter your address and scroll down to the photo of your current representative under "State House." Click on the photo. The number just below your representative's name is your House district number. Or you could get your district number by phoning your County Election Board and telling them your address.)

by prioriting your Cou	inty Election Board and telling them y	our address	5.)
			Question number
		Party	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
DISTRICT 10 N	owata, *Osage, *Washington		
TRAVIS	DUNLAP	Rep	yes
JUDD	STROM	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
DISTRICT 14- *Ch	erokee, *Muskogee		
GEORGE	FAUGHT	Rep	yes
CHRIS	SNEED	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
DISTRICT 17- Lati	mer, *LeFlore, *Pittsburg		
JOSH	HASS	Rep	yes
JIM	GREGO	Rep	yes
DISTRICT 20- *Cle	eveland, *Garvin, *McClain, *P	ottawato	omie
BOBBY	CLEVELAND	Rep	yes
SHERRIE	CONLEY	Rep	yes - yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
DISTRICT 26- *Po	ttawatomie		
E. BRUCE	BUSHONG	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
TERRY W	V. HOPKINS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
DISTRICT 27- *Cle	eveland, *Pottawatomie		
DAVE	SPAULDING	Rep	yes
DANNY J.	STERLING	Rep	yes
DISTRICT 30 *C	Creek, *Tulsa		
MARK	LAWSON	Rep	yes
KENT	GLESENER	Rep	yes

DISTRICT 36- *Osage, *Tulsa

LOUISE REDCORN Rep Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 38- *Garfield, Grant, *Kay, *Logan, *Noble

BRIAN HOBBS Rep Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 41- *Canadian, *Garfield, *Kingfisher, *Oklahoma

JENNIE SCOTT Dem Survey not returned to us. SARA PETERSON Dem Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 43- *Canadian

DISTRICT 47- *Canadian, *Grady

BEVERLY ADAMS Rep Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 53- *Cleveland

LESLIE BONEBREAK Dem Survey not returned to us.

CYNDY SOUTHERLAND Dem Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 61- Beaver, Cimarron, Ellis, Harper, Texas, *Woodward

DISTRICT 63- *Comanche, Tillman

DISTRICT 66- *Osage, *Tulsa

JADINE NOLLAN Rep Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 68- *Creek, *Tulsa

MICHAEL ROSS Dem Survey not returned to us.

ANGELA STATUM Dem Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 71- *Tulsa

CHERYL BABER Rep Survey not returned to us. BEVERLY A. ATTEBERRY Rep Survey not returned to us.

DAN	HICKS	Rep	yes
KAREN	GILBERT	Rep	yes

DISTRICT 80- *Tulsa, *Wagoner

MIKE	RITZE	Rep	yes
STAN	MAY	Rep	yes

DISTRICT 82- *Oklahoma

BRAD	MARTIN	Rep	yes
NICOLE	MILLER	Rep	ves

DISTRICT 91- *Cleveland

AMANDA	JEFFERS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
SONYA	FERGESON	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 98- *Tulsa, *Wagoner

DEAN	F.	DAVIS	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
DLAN	1 .		INCD	Julycy Hot returned to us.

DISTRICT 100- *Oklahoma

JULIE	ROACH	Rep	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 101- *Oklahoma

ROBERT	MANGER	Rep	yes
TESS	TEAGUE	Rep	yes
NANDELINIE	CCOTT	D	Company and make and the con-

MADELINE SCOTT Dem Survey not returned to us.

JOHN CARPENTER Dem Survey not returned to us.

Congressional Candidate Survey

In anticipation of the Runoff Election on Tuesday, August 28, 2018, Oklahomans For Life/National Right to Life surveyed candidates for the four U.S. House of Representatives seats that are on the runoff-election ballot regarding various types of federal pro-life legislation. The initials after a candidate's name represent party affiliation.

US REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 1

TIM	HARRIS	Rep	All pro-life answers
KEVIN	HERN	Rep	All pro-life answers

TIM GILPIN Dem Did not answer and return survey
AMANDA DOUGLAS Dem Did not answer and return survey

US REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 2

MARKWAYNE	MULLIN	Rep	All pro-life answers
-----------	--------	-----	----------------------

JASON	NICHOLS	Dem	Did not answer and return survey
CLAY	PADGETT	Dem	Did not answer and return survey

US REPRESENTATIVE, DIST MARY FRED	RICT 4 BRANNON GIPSON	Dem Dem	Did not answer and return survey Did not answer and return survey
US REPRESENTATIVE, DIST KENDRA TOM	RICT 5 HORN GUILD	Dem Dem	Did not answer and return survey Did not answer and return survey
Below are the qu	estions for j	federal (candidates
		ABORTIO	ON
law, and that abortion sho Under what circumstances (a) Only to prevent th	uld be permitted s, if any, do you be e death of the mother, eported to a child	only when elieve that a other (the N or in cases abuse age	RLC position). s of rape reported to a law enforcement agency, ncy.
			estion below, a "yes" with the position of NRLC.
ROE v. V	VADE and CA	SEY v. P	LANNED PARENTHOOD
abortion" for any reason un "emotional" health – even abortion laws that were in	ntil "viability" (into during the final effect in all 50 st Court reaffirmed	the sixth methree monates at that the "core he	n, the U.S. Supreme Court created a "right to nonth), and for any "health" reasons – including ths of pregnancy. This ruling invalidated the time. In the 1992 ruling of Casey v. Planned oldings" of Roe v. Wade, and said that any law if be struck down.
Parenthood decisions, s	o that elected le	gislative b	de, Doe v. Bolton, and Casey v. Planned odies (the state legislatures and Congress) and/or prohibiting abortion?
	YES_	NC	D

- - - -

ABORTION POLICIES

(2) Would you vote against any legislation that would weaken any pro-life law or policy that is in effect on the day that you are elected?		
YES NO		

(3) Would you vote against any federal legislation that would place new limits on the ability of states to regulate abortion – for example, the so-called "Women's Health Protection Act" (S. 510, H.R. 1322 in the 115th Congress), sometimes referred to by critics as the "Abortion Without Limits Until Birth Act," which is successor to the so-called "Freedom of Choice Act"?

YES____ NO____

PAIN-CAPABLE UNBORN CHILD PROTECTION ACT

There is now compelling scientific evidence that at least by 20 weeks fetal age the unborn child is capable of experiencing pain when subjected to abortion. On this basis, in 2010, Nebraska enacted the Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act to prohibit abortions after that point (with narrow exceptions), and a number of other states subsequently passed bills based on the same model. On October 3, 2017, similar national legislation (H.R. 36) was approved by the U.S. House of Representatives. A companion bill also was introduced in the U.S. Senate (S. 1922).

(4) Would you vote for the Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act, to strictly limit abortion from 20 weeks fetal age, the point in development that evidence currently suggests an unborn child has the capacity to feel pain?

YES____ NO___

DISMEMBERMENT ABORTION BAN ACT

The Dismemberment Abortion Ban Act (H.R. 1192) has been introduced in the U.S. House of Representatives by Congressman Chris Smith (R-N.J.). This bill is based on a model state bill proposed by National Right to Life, which was enacted in 2015 in Kansas and Oklahoma, and a number of other states subsequently passed bills based on the same model. The bill defines "dismemberment abortion" as "knowingly dismembering a living unborn child and extracting such unborn child one piece at a time from the uterus through the use of clamps, grasping forceps, tongs, scissors or similar instruments that, through the convergence of two rigid levers, slice, crush or grasp a portion of the unborn child's body in order to cut or rip it off . . ." This definition largely overlaps with what those in the abortion trade currently refer to as "dilation and evacuation" or "dilation and extraction" (D&E) abortions. This brutal method is commonly used starting at about 14 weeks of pregnancy, and extending into the third trimester.

(5) Would you vote for the Dismemberment Abortion Ban Act, to place a national ban on the use of dismemberment abortion?
YES NO
GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES FOR ABORTION
On January 24, 2017, the U.S. House of Representatives approved the No Taxpayer Funding for Abortion Act (H.R. 7). This bill would establish a permanent policy against funding abortions and health plans that cover abortions, consistent with the principles of the Hyde Amendment, to all federal programs, including those created by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 (Pub. L. No. 111-148) ("ObamaCare").
(6) Would you vote for the No Taxpayer Funding for Abortion Act?
YES NO
Congress votes from time to time on the "Hyde Amendment," a law that prohibits federal Medicaid money from being used to pay for abortions or for health care plans that include abortion, except to save the life of the mother, or in cases of rape or incest. Other similar provisions of law restrict federal subsidies for abortion in certain other federal health programs, including those covering the military and federal employees, but, regrettably, not major components of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 ("ObamaCare"). (7) Would you oppose any legislation that would weaken the Hyde Amendment or other current laws that restrict federal subsidies for abortion, and would you support measures to ensure
the fullest possible enforcement of such laws and application wherever appropriate of their underlying principles?
YES NO
The District of Columbia is an exclusively federal jurisdiction. Article I of the Constitution provides that Congress must exercise "exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever" over the District. In December 2009, at the urging of President Obama, Congress effectively repealed a longstanding ban on government funding of abortions in the District. However, in April 2011, at the insistence of congressional Republican leaders, a prohibition was restored to prohibit any use of government funds for abortion in the District, whether designated as "federal" funds or so-called "local" funds (except to save the life of the mother, or in cases of rape or incest). This issue will continue to arise during future congressional appropriations cycles. (8) Would you vote to preserve the prohibition on public funding of abortion in the District of Columbia, applicable to all government funds however they are labeled?
YES NO
1E3 NU

The federal government annually provides many millions of dollars to organizations that operate abortion clinics. For example, affiliates of the Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA) provide over one-third of all the abortions performed in the U.S., yet PPFA also receives over a half-billion dollars annually from government sources, mostly federal (including Medicaid and the Title X "family planning" program).

(9) Would you vote for legislation that would make organizations that perform abortions (other than bona fide hospitals), including Planned Parenthood, ineligible to receive federal funding, including federal Medicaid funds?

\/ = 0	110	
YES	NO	

FOREIGN AID FOR ABORTION

The U.S. spends about \$600 million annually for birth control programs overseas. Under Presidents Ronald Reagan, George H.W. Bush, George W. Bush, and Donald Trump, executive orders collectively referred to as the "Mexico City Policy" established that in order to be eligible for U.S. population control funds, a private overseas organization must agree not to perform abortions (except to save the life of the mother, or in cases of rape or incest) or to "actively promote abortion as a method of family planning." However, during the administrations of Presidents Clinton and Obama, this pro-life policy was overturned by executive order.

(10) Would you vote for legislation to codify (enact into permanent law) the principles of the "Mexico City Policy," that U.S. funds should not go to overseas organizations that perform or promote abortion?

Υ	ΈS	i	NO)

The United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) has participated in China's population control program, which relies heavily on coerced abortion. The UNFPA has also promoted expanded access to abortion in developing nations, and has promoted the abortion pill, RU 486. The administrations of Presidents Reagan, George H.W. Bush, George W. Bush, and Donald Trump cut off U.S. funding to the UNFPA because of its role in China.

(11) Would you vote for legislation to prevent U.S. funding of the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), and to prevent any other disregard for, or weakening or repeal of, the 1985 Kemp-Kasten anti-coercion law, which prohibits U.S. funding of any agency that supports a program of coercive abortion?

PARENTAL NOTIFICATION/CONSENT FOR MINORS' ABORTIONS

Laws are already in effect in about half the states that require notification or consent of at least one parent (or authorization by a judge) before an abortion can be performed on a minor. However, these laws are often circumvented by minors who cross state lines in order to evade parental notification requirements (often with the aid of older boyfriends, abortion clinic staff, or other adults lacking parental authority).

The Child Interstate Abortion Notification Act (CIANA), (S. 224, H.R. 692 in the 115th Congress) would require any abortionist, encountering a minor client from another state, to notify one parent before performing an abortion, unless presented with authorization from a court, or in cases of life endangerment, or in cases of sexual or physical abuse or neglect by a parent, in which case the appropriate state agency must be notified instead of a parent. The bill would also make it an offense to transport a minor across state lines to evade a parental involvement requirement.

` '	Vould you oppose weakening amendments to the Child Interstate Abortion Notification IANA), and vote for the bill?
	YES NO
	CONSCIENCE PROTECTION
of gove	any years, pro-abortion officials and advocacy groups have sought to use the compulsory powers ernment to compel health care providers to participate in abortion. The Obama Administration ened the assault on conscience rights by issuing "ObamaCare" regulations that require

of government to compel health care providers to participate in abortion. The Obama Administration broadened the assault on conscience rights by issuing "ObamaCare" regulations that require employers (including religious schools and hospitals) to provide health coverage that will provide drugs and procedures to which the employers have religious or moral objections. In response, pro-life members of Congress have proposed the Health Care Conscience Rights Act (S. 301 and H.R. 644 in the 115th Congress), which would greatly strengthen the rights of private individuals and employers to refuse to participate in abortion or other procedures that violate their deeply held beliefs. Among other things, the bill would prohibit any government agency -- federal, state, or local -- from penalizing health care providers for refusing to participate in providing abortions, and would allow health care providers to sue when subjected to such attacks from government entities.

(13) Would you vote for legislation, such as the Health Care Conscience Rights Act, to protect the conscience rights of pro-life health care providers and others, and advocate for vigorous enforcement of existing laws to protect conscience rights?

YES____ NO____

SEX DISCRIMINATION

Some federal and state courts have construed laws that ban discrimination "on account of sex" or "on the basis of sex" as inconsistent with limitations on abortion or government funding of abortion. In addition, some U.N. agencies and other international bodies have adopted the position that limits on abortion are a form of gender-based discrimination.

(14) Would you oppose any legislation or regulatory actions that are based on claims that laws protecting unborn children are a form of gender-based discrimination, and would you insist on the addition of "abortion-neutral" language to any proposed federal statutes, regulations, or constitutional amendments that would mandate "gender equality" or that restrict distinctions on the basis of sex, to ensure that such laws or executive actions cannot be misused to advance pro-abortion policies?

YES NO

PROTECTION OF HUMAN EMBRYOS

The right to life of human beings must be respected at every stage of their biological development. Human individuals who are at the embryonic stage of development should not be used for harmful or lethal medical experimentation. This applies equally to human beings whether their lives were begun by in vitro fertilization, by somatic cell nuclear transfer (human cloning), or by any other laboratory techniques.

NRLC opposes harvesting "stem cells" from living human embryos, since this kills the embryos. This includes any human embryos who might be created by somatic cell nuclear transfer (human cloning) or other laboratory manipulations. Note: NRLC is NOT opposed to other research on "stem cells" that are obtained without killing embryos – for example, stem cells harvested from umbilical cord blood and from adult tissue.

In 2001, President George W. Bush issued an executive order to prevent the federal government from funding research that would encourage the destruction of human embryos, and vetoed bills that would have overturned that policy – but in 2009, President Obama issued a new executive order that nullified the previous pro-life policy, which has allowed federal funding of stem cell research that requires the destruction of human embryos.

(15) Would you vote for legislation to prevent Federal support of research or treatments that harm or destroy human embryos, or that use cells or tissues that are obtained by harming or killing human embryos (including any human embryos created by human cloning or other laboratory manipulations)?

YES	 NO	

HEALTH CARE RESTRUCTURING LEGISLATION

On March 23, 2010, President Obama signed into law "The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act" ("ObamaCare") (Pub. L. No. 111-148), which passed Congress over the objections of NRLC. When the government rations health care in a way that makes it illegal or impossible for Americans to choose life-saving medical treatment, food, and fluids, it imposes a type of involuntary euthanasia.

Through objectionable features separately described in questions 17-19 below, this legislation is resulting in unacceptable involuntary denial of life-saving medical treatment through rationing. It also provides subsidies for private health plans that cover elective abortion, and contains provisions that are likely to result in further expansions of abortion through administrative actions by various federal agencies.

(16) Would you actively support repeal and replacement of the PPACA ("ObamaCare")?

YES	NO

Regardless of your answer to question 16, please answer the following additional questions about the PPACA as well.

ABORTION IN HEALTH INSURANCE

purchase private health insurance, including plans that cover elective abortions. The law also created a program under which a federal agency, the Office of Personnel Management (OPM), will administer private plans that will be offered across the nation, but failed to prohibit the agency from including in the program health plans that cover elective abortion.
(17) Would you support legislation to revise ObamaCare to permanently prohibit federal premium subsidies from being spent on plans that cover elective abortions, prohibit federal agencies from administering plans that cover elective abortions, and prohibit federal mandates requiring private health plans to cover or provide access to abortions?
YES NO
(18) Would you oppose any new health care legislation intended to comprehensively revise or replace ObamaCare unless it contains explicit language, covering all provisions of the legislation, prohibiting federal subsidies for elective abortion and for insurance plans that cover abortion, and preventing federal pro-abortion regulatory mandates, on a permanent basis?
YES NO

RATIONING IN HEALTH CARE

ObamaCare has made Americans increasingly concerned about denial of life-saving medical treatment for themselves or their family members resulting from its implementation.

(19) As a general principle, do you agree with this statement?: "Federal law ought not to limit what private citizens can choose, out of their own funds, to spend on medical treatment to save the lives of their own family."

See generally www.nrlc.org/medethics/healthcarerationing/

EXCESS BENEFITS TAX: The law ("ObamaCare") would impose a 40% excise tax (the so-called Cadillac tax) on premiums for employer-paid health insurance exceeding an ObamaCare-set limit (26USC §4980I). As explained in a September 30, 2013 Politico article, the level at which the tax kicks in will "be linked to the increase in the consumer price index, but medical inflation generally rises faster than that. Think of the Cadillac tax as the slow-moving car in the right lane, chugging along at 45 miles per hour. It may be pretty far in the distance, but if you're . . . moving along at a reasonable clip in the same lane – say, 60 miles an hour – and you don't slow down, you're going to run smack into it." When, in the not-too-distant future, the "collision point" is reached, health insurance benefits for employees will effectively be prevented from keeping up with medical inflation, forcing compounding cutbacks in the health care they are permitted to receive. DOCUMENTATION for this and the subsequent three questions: http://www.nrlc.org/uploads/communications/healthcarereport2014.pdf

receive. http://ww						ION comm		this ions/hea	and althcare	the report20	subsequer 014.pdf	nt thre	e questi	ions
(20) Woı	uld	yoı	ı vo	ote f	or le	gislat	ion to	elimina	ite Oba	maCare	e's so-called	Cadillac	Tax?	
							Y	ES	NO					
directed trate of moof Health implement the distribution of the di	to nadional are to expend the content of the conten	nak cal nd I hes pro	e reinflatungen in der in deri	econ ation nan ecor ers. ges i	nmen . If th Servi nmer For o	ndatior ne Boa ices (I ndation examp contra	ns to p ard fails HHS) t ns thro ple, no act with	revent past to come to do so bugh the insural	orivate had be the property of	nealth can nis task, stead. sition of n offere	yment Adviso are spending the law direct In either case "quality and d through and er who fails t	from keep ts the fede e, HHS is efficiency by of the	ping up with eral Departr empowere " measure state or fed	n the mented to s or dera
that paid	l for	by	pri۱	/ate	citize	ens an	nd their	private	health	insuran	government for ce, treatment but which ru	that a do	ctor and pa	atient
standard www.nrlc								-	is willin	ig and a	able to pay fo	r it. DOC	UMENTAT	ION:
. ,	nd t	the	aut	thor	ity of	HHS	to use				ependent Pa cy measures	-	-	
							Y	ES	NO					
think plan	ns of	ffer gc	ed b	oy the	e insu	urers ir ficials,	nside o in thei	r outside	e the ex	change a	changes whe allow private c n, think is an	itizens to	choose to sp	pend
exchang	ge o	ffic	ials	s to	exclu	ıde he	ealth ir	nsurers	from c	ompeti	authority of ng within the to spend or	exchang	ge on the b	asis
							Y	ES	NO					

TAX INCREASES ON HEALTH INSURANCE

More Americans receive their health insurance through an employer-sponsored plan than any other way. Under current law, apart from the so-called Cadillac Tax discussed above if it goes into effect,

what employers spend to obtain health insurance for their employees is not treated as taxable employee income. However, some have proposed to impose federal taxes on some or all of these insurance premiums, making it significantly harder to obtain adequate health insurance and, in effect, imposing a new tax on working Americans.
(23) Would you oppose legislation that would raise federal taxes on health insurance premiums?
YES NO
(24) Regardless of your answer to the preceding question, would you oppose legislation raising taxes on health insurance premiums above a limit if that limit was not indexed to <i>medical</i> inflation?
YES NO
ADVANCE CARE PLANNING

Effective beginning in 2016, the Obama Administration directed that Medicare funds pay health care professionals to provide "advance care planning" to senior citizens in which they are asked if they want to execute advance directives that limit or reject the life-preserving health care they will be provided. As documented in National Right to Life's report, "The Bias Against Life-Preserving Treatment in Advance Care Planning," available

http://www.nrlc.org/uploads/advancecareplanning/advanceplanningbias2015.pdf, in practice advance care planning typically uses unbalanced, distorted, and often inaccurate information in an acknowledged effort to "nudge" those subjected to it to reduce health care spending on them by getting them to agree to forego life-preserving treatment and assisted feeding and fluids.

(25) Would you support legislation to require the Department of Health and Human Services to take steps to ensure that advance care planning paid for with federal tax dollars neutrally assists beneficiaries to implement their own values and health care choices, rather than using unbalanced information and counseling that pressures them into agreeing to reject lifepreserving treatment and assisted feeding?

YES N	10
-------	----

POLITICAL SPEECH, GRASSROOTS ADVOCACY, and RIGHT TO PETITION

Some members of Congress have pushed for enactment of legislation (such as the so-called "DISCLOSE Act") that attempts to discourage donations to organizations (such as NRLC) that comment on the actions of elected federal officials, by requiring the publication of the identities of donors to such organizations. Such restrictions would harm organizations engaged in advocacy on contentious issues, including pro-life issues, because many businessmen and others would be deterred from supporting advocacy organizations for fear of harassment, abuse, or boycotts by people who do not share their political opinions.

contentious issues, including pro-life issues, because many businessmen and others would be deterred from supporting advocacy organizations for fear of harassment, abuse, or boycotts by people who do not share their political opinions.
(26) Would you oppose enactment of any legislation that would curb the right of private citizens to support advocacy organizations without being "outed" by the government?
YES NO
In its January 2010 ruling in <i>Citizens United v. FEC</i> , the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution protects the right of corporations (which includes nonprofit corporations, such as NRLC) to spend money to express viewpoints regarding those who hold or seek political office. Subsequently, some members of Congress have advocated adoption of new restrictions to discourage corporations from exercising this right – for example, by telling corporations that if they engage in constitutionally protected speech on political matters, they will lose other rights.
(27) Would you oppose any legislation that would penalize corporations, including nonprofit corporations such as NRLC, for engaging in the types of free speech that the U.S. Supreme Court has ruled are protected by the First Amendment?
YES NO
The federal Lobbying Disclosure Act is a law that already requires organizations that lobby Congress to report, on a quarterly basis, all of the legislative matters on which they contacted members of Congress or Executive Branch agencies, but the law does not require the reporting of the names of specific lawmakers or officials with whom they communicated. In January, 2010, President Obama urged Congress to adopt legislation under which every contact between lobbying organizations and lawmakers would be reported into a publicly accessible database. NRLC believes that such "contact reporting" is an infringement on the First Amendment right to petition government officials, is exceedingly burdensome, and serves no legitimate public policy purpose.
(28) Would you oppose any legislation that would require members of Congress or Executive Branch officials to report, into a public database, contacts they receive from an advocacy organization such as NRLC, or that would require an advocacy organization such as NRLC to report its contacts with individual elected officials?
YES NO

Under the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act (McCain-Feingold), the Federal Election Commission promulgated new rules on defining what constitutes illegal "coordination" between candidates (including incumbent members of Congress and incumbent presidents) and citizen groups. The rules specifically do not require that there be "formal agreement or collaboration" with a member of Congress or other candidate in order for an expenditure by a citizen group or political action committee to be a "coordinated expenditure" and thus a campaign "contribution."

Under the loose new definition of "coordination," citizen groups and PACs that communicate with Congress on legislative matters and also conduct independent expenditures are at risk of being unintentionally "coordinated," thereby making their independent expenditures illegal campaign "contributions."

(29) Would you support regulatory reforms and/or new legislation to reestablish that "coordination" means only a formal agreement or collaboration on a specific project between a candidate and a citizen group or PAC?

YES	NO

ASSISTING SUICIDE

Oregon, several other states, and the District of Columbia have adopted laws affirmatively legalizing prescription of lethal drugs to assist suicide in certain cases.

(30) Would you oppose the Federal legalization of assisting suicide through lethal prescription as under the Oregon law?

YES NO

(31) Would you support Federal law to strengthen policy against "assisting suicide," including overturning the D.C. law?

YES____ NO____

PLEASE NOTE: The following questions are for Senate candidates only:

NOMINATIONS TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

The fundamental documents of American democracy and freedom, the Constitution and the Declaration of Independence, have given us essential principles such as the "unalienable" right to life which must be respected by the courts.

CEDAW	
80 but never ratified, that explicitly obligates ratifying nervices, including those related to family planning," and omen have "the same rights to decide freely and res	nations to ensure says that parties sponsibly on the
eaty. This is one of the reasons that the U.S. Senate hon that NRLC opposes ratification of the CEDAW.	
֡֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜	ation of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CE 80 but never ratified, that explicitly obligates ratifying nervices, including those related to family planning," and omen have "the same rights to decide freely and reschildren." These and other provisions have been conscipled. These and other provisions have been conscipled to the compliance Committee, to rule that any type eaty. This is one of the reasons that the U.S. Senate hon that NRLC opposes ratification of the CEDAW.