

# ***Candidate Surveys for Nov. 6, 2018 General Election***

Each election year since 1980 we have surveyed candidates for public office regarding various life issues so that Oklahoma's pro-life citizens may have accurate information about candidates' positions on these important questions. Oklahomans For Life is our statewide right-to-life organization, affiliated with the National Right to Life Committee. In anticipation of the General Election on Tuesday, November 6, 2018, Oklahomans For Life asked the twelve questions below of candidates for statewide office and for the Oklahoma State Senate and the Oklahoma State House of Representatives. We asked candidates for Statewide office – since they would not be in a position literally to "vote for" legislation – to indicate by their answers whether they "support" such legislation. For state Senate and House races, the counties or partial counties (marked by an asterisk) in the district are indicated after the district number. On each question, a "yes" answer indicates agreement with the position of Oklahomans For Life. The abbreviation after a candidate's name indicates party affiliation (Republican, Democrat, Libertarian, or Independent). The candidates' answers to each of the twelve questions are indicated.

## **Oklahomans For Life 2018 Candidate Survey**

***On each question, a "yes" answer indicates agreement with the position of Oklahomans For Life.***

Question 1: Upon reversal of the U.S. Supreme Court's Roe v. Wade decision, will you vote for a law that would protect the lives of unborn children and prohibit abortion except to prevent the death of the mother?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

Question 2: If efforts to enact such a law failed, will you vote for a law that would prohibit abortion except when necessary to prevent the death of the mother, or when the pregnancy is the result of forcible rape (reported to law enforcement authorities within seven days), or when the pregnancy resulted from an act of incest committed against a minor (with the perpetrator reported to law enforcement authorities)?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

Question 3: There is evidence nationwide that statutory rapists who impregnate their minor victims have used abortion as a means to cover up the evidence of their crimes. In some cases, it appears that abortionists have performed an abortion on a minor girl, even without her parents' knowledge, when her statutory rapist had brought her to the abortion facility and paid for the abortion. Will you vote for a law that would prohibit the state from providing Medicaid contracts to any entity or affiliate which has been found guilty of failing to report statutory rape under mandatory child-abuse reporting laws?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

Question 4: Medical science has recently developed a method for reversing the effects of a chemical abortion and saving the life of an unborn child when only the first chemical in the multi-step process of a chemical abortion has been ingested by the mother. The protocol involves first performing an ultrasound to confirm that the unborn child is alive, and if so, progesterone is prescribed for the duration of the first trimester. The physicians who have developed the reversal method have received

thousands of calls regarding women who have changed their minds about continuing the process of a chemical abortion, and they have testified that at least 250 babies have been saved by the reversal procedure. Currently five states have laws providing that women be given information about the possibility of reversing the intended effects of a chemical abortion as part of their informed consent materials prior to undergoing a chemical abortion. Will you vote for a law providing that such information be given to mothers prior to commencing a chemical-abortion procedure?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

Question 5: There is currently an aggressive drive underway to legalize euthanasia and assisted suicide across the United States. Causing the starvation or dehydration deaths of persons with disabilities and of incompetent patients who are deemed to be a burden on others or to have a diminished "quality of life" is the euthanasia movement's interim goal while they seek eventual public acceptance of killing by lethal injection or by lethal overdose of controlled substances/dangerous drugs. Oklahoma's Hydration and Nutrition for Incompetent Patients Act protects incompetent persons who have not directed that they be deprived of food and water so they will not be victims of death by starvation or dehydration. Will you oppose any attempt to repeal or weaken this protective law?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

Question 6: Oklahoma's Nondiscrimination in Treatment Act requires that a health care provider shall not deny to a patient a life-preserving health care service the provider provides to other patients, and the provision of which is directed by the patient or a person authorized to make health care decisions for the patient: 1) On the basis of a view that treats extending the life of an elderly, disabled, or terminally ill individual as of lower value than extending the life of an individual who is younger, nondisabled, or not terminally ill; or 2) On the basis of disagreement with how the patient or person authorized to make health care decisions for the patient values the tradeoff between extending the length of the patient's life and the risk of disability. Will you oppose any attempt to repeal or weaken this protective law?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

Question 7: Oklahoma law protects against the involuntary denial of life-saving medical treatment by requiring that if a health-care provider's refusal to comply with a decision made by or on behalf of a patient to receive life-saving medical treatment would, in reasonable medical judgment, be likely to result in the patient's death, then the health-care provider must provide the life-saving treatment pending transfer of the patient to a willing provider. Will you oppose any attempt to repeal or weaken this protective law?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

Question 8: Oklahoma's advance directive (living will) law recognizes the particular importance of food and water. In order to ensure truly informed consent, our law requires a separately-initialed paragraph in an advance directive in order for artificial administration of food and water to be rejected. Some proposals have been made that would, instead, combine food and water with "medical treatment" such as respirators or surgery, so that choosing to forego life-sustaining medical treatment would be assumed to mean the individual wanted to die by starvation and dehydration. In order to avoid confusion and protect individuals who expect to die from their underlying illness or injury, not from starvation or dehydration, will you vote against any bill that would repeal or weaken the existing requirement for a separately-initialed provision in an advance directive to indicate the

rejection of food and water?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

Question 9: Will you oppose the legalization of lethal prescriptions or lethal injections to intentionally kill an innocent person or to “assist” someone in committing suicide (“active euthanasia”)?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

Question 10: Advocates of assisted suicide are now recommending fraudulent reporting of the cause of death on death certificates. They suggest listing an underlying illness, instead of suicide, to conceal the actual cause of death in such cases. Several states have legalized assisted suicide, and promoters of assisted suicide, seeking to hide the growing harm and pressure that such utilitarian laws inflict on the vulnerable, the elderly, the poor, and the depressed, are encouraging those who participate in assisting suicide to disguise what is occurring. A prerequisite for preventing the lethal practice of assisted suicide from gaining a foothold in Oklahoma is honest reporting of the actual cause of death. Will you vote for a law to guard against assisted suicide by requiring accurate reporting of the cause of death on death certificates?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

Question 11: Mothers are often given information heavily favoring abortion when given a diagnosis that their unborn child may have Down Syndrome. Will you support a law requiring that up-to-date, evidence-based information about life-affirming resources for families with a Down Syndrome child be given to the mother?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

Question 12: Oklahoma’s Supreme Court has arbitrarily struck down as “unconstitutional” several pro-life laws, including laws which in other states have survived federal court challenges. The Oklahoma Supreme Court has become so hostile to the right to life that, when the abortion industry challenges Oklahoma’s pro-life laws, they no longer file suit in federal court, where they would most likely lose, but rather in state court, to give the Oklahoma Supreme Court the opportunity to strike down the laws. Given the fact that the state Constitution authorizes the Legislature to limit, by statute, the tenure of current state Supreme Court justices by enacting a mandatory retirement age, and given the fact that 32 other states impose a mandatory retirement age on their states’ Supreme Court justices, will you support a law to establish a mandatory retirement age for Oklahoma Supreme Court justices?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

Candidate responses are below.

## STATEWIDE OFFICES

			Question number											
GOVERNOR		Party	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
KEVIN	STITT	Rep	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
DREW	EDMONDSON	Dem	Survey not returned to us.											
CHRIS	POWELL	Lib	Survey not returned to us.											

**LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR**

MATT		PINNELL	Rep	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
ANASTASIA	A.	PITTMAN	Dem	Survey	not	returned	to	us.									
IVAN		HOLMES	Ind	Survey	not	returned	to	us.									

## STATE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR

CINDY	BYRD	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
JOHN	YEUTTER	Lib	Survey not returned to us.

**ATTORNEY GENERAL**

MIKE	HUNTER	Rep	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
MARK	MYLES	Dem	Survey	not	returned	to	us.							

## STATE TREASURER

RANDY	McDANIEL	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
CHARLES	de COUNE	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

## SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

JOY	HOFMEISTER	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
JOHN	COX	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
LARRY	HUFF	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

**COMMISSIONER OF LABOR**

LESLIE	OSBORN	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
FRED	DORRELL	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
BRANDT	DISMUKES	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

**INSURANCE COMMISSIONER**

GLEN	MULREADY	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
KIMBERLY	FOBBS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

**CORPORATION COMMISSIONER**

BOB		ANTHONY	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
ASHLEY	NICOLE	McCRAY	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
JACKIE		SHORT	Ind	Survey not returned to us.



DISTRICT 20 – \*Kingfisher, Logan, Noble, Pawnee

CHUCK	HALL	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
HEADY	COLEMAN	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 22 – \*Canadian, \*Oklahoma

STEPHANIE	BICE	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
WILLIAM	ANDREWS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 24 – \*Cleveland

DARRELL	WEAVER	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
RENÉE	JERDEN	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 26 -- Beckham, Blaine, Caddo, \*Custer, \*Kingfisher, Roger Mills

DARCY	A.	JECH	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
-------	----	------	-----	----------------------------

DISTRICT 28 – Lincoln, \*Pottawatomie, \*Seminole

JASON N.	SMALLEY	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
STEPHANIE R.	SANDERS	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 30 – \*Oklahoma

JOHN	SYMCOX	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
JULIA	KIRT	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 32 – \*Comanche

JOHN MICHAEL	MONTGOMERY	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
DEBORAH	FARLER	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 34 – \*Tulsa

J.J.	DOSSETT	Dem	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
------	---------	-----	---

DISTRICT 36 – \*Tulsa, \*Wagoner

JOHN	HASTE	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
BRYAN	O'BRIEN	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 38 – \*Custer, Greer, Harmon, Jackson, Kiowa, Washita

BRENT	HOWARD	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes -
JEFF	BERRONG	Dem	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 40 – \*Oklahoma

JOE	HOWELL	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
CARRI	HICKS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
CHRISTOPHER	HENSLEY	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 42 – \*Oklahoma

BRENDA	STANLEY	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
LINDA	WADE	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
MATT	CAMPBELL	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 44 - *Oklahoma			
MICHAEL	BROOKS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 46 – *Oklahoma			
KAY	FLOYD	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 48 – *Oklahoma			
WILLARD	LINZY	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
GEORGE E.	YOUNG	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

## STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

(To learn your State House District #, go to our website [www.OkForLife.org](http://www.OkForLife.org) and click on “Find Your Legislators,” then enter your address and scroll down to the photo of your current representative under “State House.” Click on the photo. The number just below your representative’s name is your House district number. Or you could get your district number by phoning your County Election Board and telling them your address.)

			Question number
		Party	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
DISTRICT 1- *LeFlore, McCurtain			
JOHNNY	TADLOCK	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
DISTRICT 2- *Sequoyah			
JIM	OLSEN	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
TOM	STITES	Dem	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
DISTRICT 3- *LeFlore			
LUNDY	KIGER	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
TROY	DYER	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
DISTRICT 4- *Cherokee			
MATT	MEREDITH	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
DISTRICT 5- *Delaware, *Mayes			
JOSH	WEST	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
ED	TRUMBULL	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
DISTRICT 6- Craig, *Mayes, *Rogers			
RUSTY	CORNWELL	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
JOHN L.	MYERS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
DISTRICT 7- *Delaware, Ottawa			
WILLIAM	LEONARD	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes - yes yes yes
BEN	LORING	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
DISTRICT 8- *Mayes, *Rogers, *Wagoner			
TOM	GANN	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
DARRELL	MOORE	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 9- \*Rogers

MARK	LEPAK	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes -
CLAY	LAYTON	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 10- – Nowata, \*Osage, \*Washington

JUDD	STROM	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
KEVIN	STACY	Dem	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 11- \*Rogers, \*Tulsa, \*Washington

DERREL	FINCHER	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
--------	---------	-----	----------------------------

DISTRICT 12- \*Wagoner

KEVIN	McDUGLE	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
CYNDI	RALSTON	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 13- \*McIntosh, \*Muskogee

AVERY	CARL	FRIX	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
JOLENE		ARMSTRONG	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 14- \*Cherokee, \*Muskogee

CHRIS	SNEED	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
JACK	REAVIS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 15- \*Haskell, \*LeFlore, \*McIntosh, \*Muskogee, \*Pittsburg,

RANDY		RANDLEMAN	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
JUDY	ROSS	MOORE	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 16- \*Muskogee, \*Okmulgee, \*Tulsa, \*Wagoner

SCOTT	FETGATTER	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
CHANDLER	TORBETT	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
JAMES	DELSON	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 17- Latimer, \*LeFlore, \*Pittsburg

JIM	GREGO	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
PEGGY	DeFRANGE	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 18- Coal, \*Hughes, \*McIntosh, \*Pittsburg

DAVID	SMITH	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
DONNIE	CONDIT	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 19- \*Atoka, \*Bryan, Choctaw, Pushmataha

JUSTIN	J.J.	HUMPHREY	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
LEWIS		COLLINS	Dem	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes -



DISTRICT 20- \*Cleveland, \*Garvin, \*McClain, \*Pottawatomie

SHERRIE	CONLEY	Rep	yes - yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
STEVE	JARMAN	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 21- \*Bryan

DUSTIN	ROBERTS	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
--------	---------	-----	----------------------------

DISTRICT 22-

CHARLES	McCALL	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
WAYNE	EIDSON	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
RENAE	WARD	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 23- \*Rogers, \*Tulsa, \*Wagoner

TERRY	S.	O'DONNELL	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
CRAIG	JOHN	HOXIE	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 24- \*Hughes, Okfuskee, \*Okmulgee

LOGAN	J.	PHILLIPS	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
STEVE		KOUPLEN	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 25- Pontotoc

RONNY		JOHNS	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
DANIEL	D.	MANUEL	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
GARY		RHYNES	Ind	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 26- \*Pottawatomie

DELL		KERBS	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
TERRY	W.	HOPKINS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 27- \*Cleveland, \*Pottawatomie

DANNY	J.	STERLING	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
-------	----	----------	-----	---

DISTRICT 28- \*Pottawatomie, Seminole

ZACK		TAYLOR	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
STEVE		BARNES	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
KYLE		WEBB	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 29- \*Creek, \*Tulsa

KYLE		HILBERT	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
JESSE		GOODWIN	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 30- - \*Creek, \*Tulsa

MARK		LAWSON	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
------	--	--------	-----	---

DISTRICT 31- \*Logan, \*Oklahoma

GARRY		MIZE	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes - yes yes yes
KARA		SAWYER	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 32- Lincoln, *Logan			
KEVIN	WALLACE	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
CHRISTI	WOLFF	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 33- *Logan, *Payne				
JOHN	THOMAS	TALLEY	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
BRANDON	T.	PHILLIPS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 34- *Payne			
AARON	MEANS	Rep	- - yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
TRISH	RANSON	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 35- *Creek, *Noble, *Osage, Pawnee, *Payne				
JAMES	WINN	Rep	Survey not returned to us.	
JASHA	LYONS	ECHO-HAWK	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 36- *Osage, *Tulsa			
SEAN	ROBERTS	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 37- *Kay, *Osage			
KEN	LUTTRELL	Rep	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 38- *Garfield, Grant, *Kay, *Logan, *Noble			
JOHN	PFEIFFER	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 39- *Oklahoma			
RYAN	MARTINEZ	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
DEVYN	DENTON	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
RICHARD	PRAWDZIENSKI	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 40- *Garfield			
CHAD	CALDWELL	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
NORMAN	GREY	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 41- *Canadian, *Garfield, *Kingfisher, *Oklahoma				
DENISE	CROSSWHITE	HADER	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes -
JENNIE	SCOTT	Dem	Survey not returned to us.	

DISTRICT 42- - *Garvin, *McClain			
CYNTHIA	ROE	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes no yes yes yes yes yes
LIZ	GEORGE	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 43- *Canadian				
JAY	W.	STEAGALL	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
CHANTELLE	CORY	Dem	Survey not returned to us.	

## DISTRICT 44- \*Cleveland

EMILY	VIRGIN	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
-------	--------	-----	----------------------------

## DISTRICT 45- \*Cleveland

MARC	ETTERS	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
MERLEYN	BELL	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
TOM	HACKELMAN	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

## DISTRICT 46- \*Cleveland

BRYAN	VINYARD	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
JACOB	ROSECRANTS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

## DISTRICT 47- \*Canadian, \*Grady

BRIAN	HILL	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
SARAH	CARNES	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

## DISTRICT 48- \*Carter, \*Garvin, \*Murray

TAMMY	TOWNLEY	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
CHERYL	KEY	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

## DISTRICT 49- \*Carter, Love, Marshall

TOMMY	C.	HARDIN	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
MIRANDA		SHELTON	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

## DISTRICT 50- Jefferson, \*Stephens

MARCUS	McENTIRE	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
--------	----------	-----	----------------------------

## DISTRICT 51- \*Grady, \*McClain, \*Stephens

BRAD	BOLES	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
------	-------	-----	----------------------------

## DISTRICT 52- \*Greer, Harmon, Jackson, \*Kiowa

CHARLES	L.	ORTEGA	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
---------	----	--------	-----	----------------------------

## DISTRICT 53- \*Cleveland

MARK	McBRIDE	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
LESLIE	BONEBREAK	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

## DISTRICT 54- \*Cleveland, \*Oklahoma

KEVIN	WEST	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
KATELYN	DOCKERY	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

## DISTRICT 55- \*Beckham, \*Greer, \*Kiowa, Roger Mills, Washita

TODD	RUSS	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
DENNIS	DUGGER	Dem	no yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 56- \*Caddo, \*Grady, \*Kiowa

CHARLES	WELLS	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
DAVID	PERRYMAN	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 57- \*Beckham, \*Blaine, \*Caddo, \*Canadian, Custer

HAROLD	WRIGHT	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
--------	--------	-----	----------------------------

DISTRICT 58- Alfalfa, \*Major, Woods, \*Woodward

CARL	NEWTON	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
------	--------	-----	----------------------------

DISTRICT 59- \*Blaine\*Canadian,Dewey, \*Kingfisher, \*Woodward

MIKE	SANDERS	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
------	---------	-----	---

DISTRICT 60- \*Caddo, \*Canadian

RHONDA	BAKER	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
--------	-------	-----	---

DISTRICT 61- Beaver, Cimarron, Ellis, Harper, Texas, \*Woodward

KENTON	PATZKOWSKY	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
ASHLEY	LEHNERT	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 62- \*Comanche

DANIEL	PAE	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes no
LARRY	BUSH	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 63- \*Comanche, Tillman

TREY	CALDWELL	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
JOAN E.	GABELMANN	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 64- \*Comanche

RANDE	WORTHEN	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
ASHLEY	McCARTER	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
DAVID	PILON	Ind	no no yes yes yes yes yes yes no yes no no

DISTRICT 65- \*Caddo, \*Comanche, Cotton, \*Grady, \*Stephens

TONI	HASENBECK	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
BRANDON R.	THOMPSON	Dem	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 66- \*Osage, \*Tulsa

JADINE	NOLLAN	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes -
ANGELA	GRAHAM	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 67- \*Tulsa

JEFF	BOATMAN	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
CARLY	HOTVEDT	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 68- \*Creek, \*Tulsa

LONNIE	SIMS	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
MICHAEL	ROSS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
J. LEE	MILLER JR	Lib	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
HEATHER	CHENOWETH	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 69- \*Tulsa

SHEILA	DILLS	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
ANDY	RICHARDSON	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 70- \*Tulsa

CAROL M.	BUSH	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
JJ	BURNAM	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 71- \*Tulsa

CHERYL	BABER	Rep	no yes yes yes yes yes yes yes no yes yes no
DENISE	BREWER	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 72- \*Tulsa

MONROE	NICHOLS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
--------	---------	-----	----------------------------

DISTRICT 73- \*Osage, \*Tulsa

REGINA	GOODWIN	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
--------	---------	-----	----------------------------

DISTRICT 74- \*Rogers, \*Tulsa

MARK	VANCUREN	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
------	----------	-----	---

DISTRICT 75- \*Tulsa

T. J.	MARTÍ	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
KAREN	GADDIS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
KELLI	KREBS	Lib	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 76- \*Tulsa

ROSS	FORD	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
FORREST	MAYER	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 77- \*Rogers, \*Tulsa

TODD	BLACKBURN	Rep	no yes - yes - - - no no yes yes yes
JOHN	WALDRON	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 78- \*Tulsa

PAUL	ROYSE	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
MELOYDE	BLANCETT	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
GENE	BELL	Lib	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 79- \*Tulsa

DAN	HICKS	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
MELISSA	PROVENZANO	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
TERESA	MARLER	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 80- \*Tulsa, \*Wagoner

STAN	MAY	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
JANICE J.	GRAHAM	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 81- \*Oklahoma

MIKE	OSBURN	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
JACKIE	PHILLIPS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
JEFF	LEVY	Lib	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 82- \*Oklahoma

NICOLE	MILLER	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
ORAYNAB	JWAYYED	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 83- \*Oklahoma

JASON	REESE	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
CHELSEY	BRANHAM	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 84- \*Oklahoma

TAMMY	WEST	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
LAUREN	MORRIS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
WILLIAM	CAGLE	Lib	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 85- \*Oklahoma

MATT	JACKSON	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
CYNDI	MUNSON	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
STEPHEN	PAULSEN	Lib	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 86- Adair, \*Cherokee, \*Delaware

DAVID	HARDIN	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
RHONDA	COX	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

DISTRICT 87- \*Oklahoma

COLLIN	WALKE	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
--------	-------	-----	----------------------------

DISTRICT 88- \*Oklahoma

JASON	DUNNINGTON	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
-------	------------	-----	----------------------------

DISTRICT 89- \*Oklahoma

SHANE	STONE	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
-------	-------	-----	----------------------------

## DISTRICT 90- \*Cleveland, \*Oklahoma

JON		ECHOLS	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
LaVELLE	C.	COMPTON	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

## DISTRICT 91- \*Cleveland

CHRIS		KANNADY	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
AMANDA		JEFFERS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

## DISTRICT 92- \*Oklahoma

FORREST		BENNETT	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
---------	--	---------	-----	----------------------------

## DISTRICT 93- \*Oklahoma

MICKEY		DOLLENS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
--------	--	---------	-----	----------------------------

## DISTRICT 94- \*Oklahoma

JASON		SANSONE	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
ANDY		FUGATE	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

## DISTRICT 95- \*Oklahoma

JACK		BEALL JR	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
KELLY		ALBRIGHT	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
PAUL		BREWBAKER	Lib	Survey not returned to us.
RASHARD	D.	BICKHAM	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

## DISTRICT 96- \*Oklahoma

LEWIS	H.	MOORE	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
KATHY		WALLIS	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

## DISTRICT 97- \*Oklahoma

JASON		LOWE	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
-------	--	------	-----	----------------------------

## DISTRICT 98- \*Tulsa, \*Wagoner

DEAN	F.	DAVIS	Rep	Survey not returned to us.
KILMYN		EASLEY-GRAF	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
SEAN		PARRISH	Ind	Survey not returned to us.

## DISTRICT 99- \*Oklahoma

AJAY		PITTMAN	Dem	Survey not returned to us.
------	--	---------	-----	----------------------------

## DISTRICT 100- \*Oklahoma

MARILYN	M.	STARK	Rep	yes - yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
ZACH		PEARSON	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

## DISTRICT 101- \*Oklahoma

ROBERT		MANGER	Rep	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
JOHN		CARPENTER	Dem	Survey not returned to us.

## ***Congressional Candidate Survey***

In anticipation of the General Election on Tuesday, Nov. 6, 2018, Oklahomans For Life/National Right to Life surveyed candidates for the five U.S. House of Representatives seats that are on the primary-election ballot regarding various types of federal pro-life legislation. The initials after a candidate's name represent party affiliation.

### **US REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 1**

KEVIN	HERN	Rep	All pro-life answers
TIM	GILPIN	Dem	Did not answer and return survey

### **US REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 2**

MARKWAYNE	MULLIN	Rep	All pro-life answers
JASON	NICHOLS	Dem	Did not answer and return survey
RICHARD	CASTALDO	Lib	All pro-life answers
JOHN	FOREMAN	Ind	Did not answer and return survey

### **US REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 3**

FRANK	D.	LUCAS	Rep	Did not answer and return survey
FRANKIE		ROBBINS	Dem	Did not answer and return survey

### **US REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 4**

TOM	COLE	Rep	All pro-life answers
MARY	BRANNON	Dem	Did not answer and return survey
RUBY	PETERS	Ind	Did not answer and return survey

### **US REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 5**

STEVE	RUSSELL	Rep	Did not answer and return survey
KENDRA	HORN	Dem	Did not answer and return survey

## ***Below are the questions for federal candidates***

### **ABORTION**

The National Right to Life Committee (NRLC) believes that unborn children should be protected by law, and that abortion should be permitted only when necessary to prevent the death of the mother. Under what circumstances, if any, do you believe that abortion should be legal?

(a)\_\_\_\_ Only to prevent the death of the mother (the NRLC position).

(b)\_\_\_\_ To prevent the death of the mother, or in cases of rape reported to a law enforcement agency, or incest against a minor reported to a child abuse agency.

(c) Other (please explain): \_\_\_\_\_



**PLEASE NOTE: In every question below, a “yes” response indicates agreement with the position of NRLC.**

## **ROE v. WADE and CASEY v. PLANNED PARENTHOOD**

In its 1973 rulings in *Roe v. Wade* and *Doe v. Bolton*, the U.S. Supreme Court created a “right to abortion” for any reason until “viability” (into the sixth month), and for any “health” reasons – including “emotional” health – even during the final three months of pregnancy. This ruling invalidated the abortion laws that were in effect in all 50 states at that time. In the 1992 ruling of *Casey v. Planned Parenthood*, the Supreme Court reaffirmed the “core holdings” of *Roe v. Wade*, and said that any law placing an “undue burden” on access to abortion would be struck down.

**(1) Do you advocate changing the *Roe v. Wade*, *Doe v. Bolton*, and *Casey v. Planned Parenthood* decisions, so that elected legislative bodies (the state legislatures and Congress) may once again protect unborn children by limiting and/or prohibiting abortion?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

## **ABORTION POLICIES**

**(2) Would you vote against any legislation that would weaken any pro-life law or policy that is in effect on the day that you are elected?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

**(3) Would you vote against any federal legislation that would place new limits on the ability of states to regulate abortion – for example, the so-called “Women’s Health Protection Act” (S. 510, H.R. 1322 in the 115th Congress), sometimes referred to by critics as the “Abortion Without Limits Until Birth Act,” which is successor to the so-called “Freedom of Choice Act”?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

## **PAIN-CAPABLE UNBORN CHILD PROTECTION ACT**

There is now compelling scientific evidence that at least by 20 weeks fetal age the unborn child is capable of experiencing pain when subjected to abortion. On this basis, in 2010, Nebraska enacted the Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act to prohibit abortions after that point (with narrow exceptions), and a number of other states subsequently passed bills based on the same model. On October 3, 2017, similar national legislation (H.R. 36) was approved by the U.S. House of Representatives. A companion bill also was introduced in the U.S. Senate (S. 1922).

**(4) Would you vote for the Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act, to strictly limit abortion from 20 weeks fetal age, the point in development that evidence currently suggests an unborn child has the capacity to feel pain?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

## **DISMEMBERMENT ABORTION BAN ACT**

The Dismemberment Abortion Ban Act (H.R. 1192) has been introduced in the U.S. House of Representatives by Congressman Chris Smith (R-N.J.). This bill is based on a model state bill proposed by National Right to Life, which was enacted in 2015 in Kansas and Oklahoma, and a number of other states subsequently passed bills based on the same model. The bill defines “dismemberment abortion” as “knowingly dismembering a living unborn child and extracting such unborn child one piece at a time from the uterus through the use of clamps, grasping forceps, tongs, scissors or similar instruments that, through the convergence of two rigid levers, slice, crush or grasp a portion of the unborn child’s body in order to cut or rip it off . . .” This definition largely overlaps with what those in the abortion trade currently refer to as “dilation and evacuation” or “dilation and extraction” (D&E) abortions. This brutal method is commonly used starting at about 14 weeks of pregnancy, and extending into the third trimester.

**(5) Would you vote for the Dismemberment Abortion Ban Act, to place a national ban on the use of dismemberment abortion?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

## **GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES FOR ABORTION**

On January 24, 2017, the U.S. House of Representatives approved the No Taxpayer Funding for Abortion Act (H.R. 7). This bill would establish a permanent policy against funding abortions and health plans that cover abortions, consistent with the principles of the Hyde Amendment, to all federal programs, including those created by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 (Pub. L. No. 111-148) (“ObamaCare”).

**(6) Would you vote for the No Taxpayer Funding for Abortion Act?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

Congress votes from time to time on the “Hyde Amendment,” a law that prohibits federal Medicaid money from being used to pay for abortions or for health care plans that include abortion, except to save the life of the mother, or in cases of rape or incest. Other similar provisions of law restrict federal subsidies for abortion in certain other federal health programs, including those covering the military and federal employees, but, regrettably, not major components of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 (“ObamaCare”).

**(7) Would you oppose any legislation that would weaken the Hyde Amendment or other current laws that restrict federal subsidies for abortion, and would you support measures to ensure the fullest possible enforcement of such laws and application wherever appropriate of their underlying principles?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

The District of Columbia is an exclusively federal jurisdiction. Article I of the Constitution provides that Congress must exercise “exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever” over the District. In December 2009, at the urging of President Obama, Congress effectively repealed a longstanding ban on government funding of abortions in the District. However, in April 2011, at the insistence of congressional Republican leaders, a prohibition was restored to prohibit any use of government funds for abortion in the District, whether designated as “federal” funds or so-called “local” funds (except to save the life of the mother, or in cases of rape or incest). This issue will continue to arise during future congressional appropriations cycles.

**(8) Would you vote to preserve the prohibition on public funding of abortion in the District of Columbia, applicable to all government funds however they are labeled?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

The federal government annually provides many millions of dollars to organizations that operate abortion clinics. For example, affiliates of the Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA) provide over one-third of all the abortions performed in the U.S., yet PPFA also receives over a half-billion dollars annually from government sources, mostly federal (including Medicaid and the Title X “family planning” program).

**(9) Would you vote for legislation that would make organizations that perform abortions (other than bona fide hospitals), including Planned Parenthood, ineligible to receive federal funding, including federal Medicaid funds?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

### **FOREIGN AID FOR ABORTION**

The U.S. spends about \$600 million annually for birth control programs overseas. Under Presidents Ronald Reagan, George H.W. Bush, George W. Bush, and Donald Trump, executive orders collectively referred to as the “Mexico City Policy” established that in order to be eligible for U.S. population control funds, a private overseas organization must agree not to perform abortions (except to save the life of the mother, or in cases of rape or incest) or to “actively promote abortion as a method of family planning.” However, during the administrations of Presidents Clinton and Obama, this pro-life policy was overturned by executive order.

**(10) Would you vote for legislation to codify (enact into permanent law) the principles of the “Mexico City Policy,” that U.S. funds should not go to overseas organizations that perform or promote abortion?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

The United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) has participated in China's population control program, which relies heavily on coerced abortion. The UNFPA has also promoted expanded access to abortion in developing nations, and has promoted the abortion pill, RU 486. The administrations of Presidents Reagan, George H.W. Bush, George W. Bush, and Donald Trump cut off U.S. funding to the UNFPA because of its role in China.

**(11) Would you vote for legislation to prevent U.S. funding of the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), and to prevent any other disregard for, or weakening or repeal of, the 1985 Kemp-Kasten anti-coercion law, which prohibits U.S. funding of any agency that supports a program of coercive abortion?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

### **PARENTAL NOTIFICATION/CONSENT FOR MINORS' ABORTIONS**

Laws are already in effect in about half the states that require notification or consent of at least one parent (or authorization by a judge) before an abortion can be performed on a minor. However, these laws are often circumvented by minors who cross state lines in order to evade parental notification requirements (often with the aid of older boyfriends, abortion clinic staff, or other adults lacking parental authority).

The Child Interstate Abortion Notification Act (CIANA), (S. 224, H.R. 692 in the 115th Congress) would require any abortionist, encountering a minor client from another state, to notify one parent before performing an abortion, unless presented with authorization from a court, or in cases of life endangerment, or in cases of sexual or physical abuse or neglect by a parent, in which case the appropriate state agency must be notified instead of a parent. The bill would also make it an offense to transport a minor across state lines to evade a parental involvement requirement.

**(12) Would you oppose weakening amendments to the Child Interstate Abortion Notification Act (CIANA), and vote for the bill?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

### **CONSCIENCE PROTECTION**

For many years, pro-abortion officials and advocacy groups have sought to use the compulsory powers of government to compel health care providers to participate in abortion. The Obama Administration broadened the assault on conscience rights by issuing "ObamaCare" regulations that require employers (including religious schools and hospitals) to provide health coverage that will provide drugs and procedures to which the employers have religious or moral objections. In response, pro-life members of Congress have proposed the Health Care Conscience Rights Act (S. 301 and H.R. 644 in the 115th Congress), which would greatly strengthen the rights of private individuals and employers to refuse to participate in abortion or other procedures that violate their deeply held beliefs. Among other things, the bill would prohibit any government agency -- federal, state, or local -- from penalizing health care providers for refusing to participate in providing abortions, and would allow health care providers to sue when subjected to such attacks from government entities.

**(13) Would you vote for legislation, such as the Health Care Conscience Rights Act, to protect the conscience rights of pro-life health care providers and others, and advocate for vigorous enforcement of existing laws to protect conscience rights?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

### **SEX DISCRIMINATION**

Some federal and state courts have construed laws that ban discrimination “on account of sex” or “on the basis of sex” as inconsistent with limitations on abortion or government funding of abortion. In addition, some U.N. agencies and other international bodies have adopted the position that limits on abortion are a form of gender-based discrimination.

**(14) Would you oppose any legislation or regulatory actions that are based on claims that laws protecting unborn children are a form of gender-based discrimination, and would you insist on the addition of “abortion-neutral” language to any proposed federal statutes, regulations, or constitutional amendments that would mandate “gender equality” or that restrict distinctions on the basis of sex, to ensure that such laws or executive actions cannot be misused to advance pro-abortion policies?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

### **PROTECTION OF HUMAN EMBRYOS**

The right to life of human beings must be respected at every stage of their biological development. Human individuals who are at the embryonic stage of development should not be used for harmful or lethal medical experimentation. This applies equally to human beings whether their lives were begun by in vitro fertilization, by somatic cell nuclear transfer (human cloning), or by any other laboratory techniques.

NRLC opposes harvesting “stem cells” from living human embryos, since this kills the embryos. This includes any human embryos who might be created by somatic cell nuclear transfer (human cloning) or other laboratory manipulations. Note: NRLC is NOT opposed to other research on “stem cells” that are obtained without killing embryos – for example, stem cells harvested from umbilical cord blood and from adult tissue.

In 2001, President George W. Bush issued an executive order to prevent the federal government from funding research that would encourage the destruction of human embryos, and vetoed bills that would have overturned that policy – but in 2009, President Obama issued a new executive order that nullified the previous pro-life policy, which has allowed federal funding of stem cell research that requires the destruction of human embryos.

**(15) Would you vote for legislation to prevent Federal support of research or treatments that harm or destroy human embryos, or that use cells or tissues that are obtained by harming or killing human embryos (including any human embryos created by human cloning or other laboratory manipulations)?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

## HEALTH CARE RESTRUCTURING LEGISLATION

On March 23, 2010, President Obama signed into law “The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act” (“ObamaCare”) (Pub. L. No. 111-148), which passed Congress over the objections of NRLC. When the government rations health care in a way that makes it illegal or impossible for Americans to choose life-saving medical treatment, food, and fluids, it imposes a type of involuntary euthanasia.

Through objectionable features separately described in questions 17-19 below, this legislation is resulting in unacceptable involuntary denial of life-saving medical treatment through rationing. It also provides subsidies for private health plans that cover elective abortion, and contains provisions that are likely to result in further expansions of abortion through administrative actions by various federal agencies.

**(16) Would you actively support repeal and replacement of the PPACA (“ObamaCare”)?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

*Regardless of your answer to question 16, please answer the following additional questions about the PPACA as well.*

## ABORTION IN HEALTH INSURANCE

The PPACA (“ObamaCare”) established a new program to assist tens of millions of Americans to purchase private health insurance, including plans that cover elective abortions. The law also created a program under which a federal agency, the Office of Personnel Management (OPM), will administer private plans that will be offered across the nation, but failed to prohibit the agency from including in the program health plans that cover elective abortion.

**(17) Would you support legislation to revise ObamaCare to permanently prohibit federal premium subsidies from being spent on plans that cover elective abortions, prohibit federal agencies from administering plans that cover elective abortions, and prohibit federal mandates requiring private health plans to cover or provide access to abortions?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

**(18) Would you oppose any new health care legislation intended to comprehensively revise or replace ObamaCare unless it contains explicit language, covering all provisions of the legislation, prohibiting federal subsidies for elective abortion and for insurance plans that cover abortion, and preventing federal pro-abortion regulatory mandates, on a permanent basis?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

## RATIONING IN HEALTH CARE

ObamaCare has made Americans increasingly concerned about denial of life-saving medical treatment for themselves or their family members resulting from its implementation.

**(19) As a general principle, do you agree with this statement?: “Federal law ought not to limit what private citizens can choose, out of their own funds, to spend on medical treatment to save the lives of their own family.”**

See generally [www.nrlc.org/medethics/healthcarerationing/](http://www.nrlc.org/medethics/healthcarerationing/)

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

**EXCESS BENEFITS TAX:** The law (“ObamaCare”) would impose a 40% excise tax (the so-called Cadillac tax) on premiums for employer-paid health insurance exceeding an ObamaCare-set limit (26USC §4980I). As explained in a September 30, 2013 Politico article, the level at which the tax kicks in will “be linked to the increase in the consumer price index, but medical inflation generally rises faster than that. Think of the Cadillac tax as the slow-moving car in the right lane, chugging along at 45 miles per hour. It may be pretty far in the distance, but if you’re . . . moving along at a reasonable clip in the same lane – say, 60 miles an hour – and you don’t slow down, you’re going to run smack into it.” When, in the not-too-distant future, the “collision point” is reached, health insurance benefits for employees will effectively be prevented from keeping up with medical inflation, forcing compounding cutbacks in the health care they are permitted to receive. **DOCUMENTATION** for this and the subsequent three questions: <http://www.nrlc.org/uploads/communications/healthcarereport2014.pdf>

**(20) Would you vote for legislation to eliminate ObamaCare’s so-called Cadillac Tax?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

**IPAB:** The law (“ObamaCare”) establishes an “Independent Payment Advisory Board” (IPAB) which is directed to make recommendations to prevent private health care spending from keeping up with the rate of medical inflation. If the Board fails to complete this task, the law directs the federal Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to do so in its stead. In either case, HHS is empowered to implement these recommendations through the imposition of “quality and efficiency” measures on health care providers. For example, no insurance plan offered through any of the state or federal insurance exchanges may contract with a health care provider who fails to abide by the federally imposed “quality and efficiency” measures.

Because the objective is to limit not just health care paid for by government funded programs, but also that paid for by private citizens and their private health insurance, treatment that a doctor and patient deem needed or advisable to save the patient’s life or health but which runs afoul of the imposed

standards would be denied, even if the patient is willing and able to pay for it. **DOCUMENTATION:** [www.nrlc.org/medethics/healthcarerationing/](http://www.nrlc.org/medethics/healthcarerationing/)

**(21) Would you vote for legislation to eliminate the Independent Payment Advisory Board (IPAB) and the authority of HHS to use “quality and efficiency measures” to limit treatment paid for with non-government funds?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

Health insurers will be excluded from the state-based insurance exchanges whenever government officials think plans offered by the insurers inside or outside the exchange allow private citizens to choose to spend whatever the government officials, in their standardless discretion, think is an “excessive or unjustified” amount on their own health insurance.

**(22) Would you vote for legislation that would remove the authority of state-based insurance exchange officials to exclude health insurers from competing within the exchange on the basis of how much the insurers permit private citizens to choose to spend on health insurance?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

### **TAX INCREASES ON HEALTH INSURANCE**

More Americans receive their health insurance through an employer-sponsored plan than any other way. Under current law, apart from the so-called Cadillac Tax discussed above if it goes into effect, what employers spend to obtain health insurance for their employees is not treated as taxable employee income. However, some have proposed to impose federal taxes on some or all of these insurance premiums, making it significantly harder to obtain adequate health insurance and, in effect, imposing a new tax on working Americans.

**(23) Would you oppose legislation that would raise federal taxes on health insurance premiums?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

**(24) Regardless of your answer to the preceding question, would you oppose legislation raising taxes on health insurance premiums above a limit if that limit was not indexed to *medical* inflation?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

### **ADVANCE CARE PLANNING**

Effective beginning in 2016, the Obama Administration directed that Medicare funds pay health care professionals to provide “advance care planning” to senior citizens in which they are asked if they want to execute advance directives that limit or reject the life-preserving health care they will be provided. As documented in National Right to Life’s report, “The Bias Against Life-Preserving Treatment in Advance Care Planning,” available at <http://www.nrlc.org/uploads/advancecareplanning/advanceplanningbias2015.pdf>, in practice advance care planning typically uses unbalanced, distorted, and often inaccurate information in an acknowledged effort to “nudge” those subjected to it to reduce health care spending on them by getting them to agree to forego life-preserving treatment and assisted feeding and fluids.



**(25) Would you support legislation to require the Department of Health and Human Services to take steps to ensure that advance care planning paid for with federal tax dollars neutrally assists beneficiaries to implement their own values and health care choices, rather than using unbalanced information and counseling that pressures them into agreeing to reject life-preserving treatment and assisted feeding?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

### **POLITICAL SPEECH, GRASSROOTS ADVOCACY, and RIGHT TO PETITION**

Some members of Congress have pushed for enactment of legislation (such as the so-called “DISCLOSE Act”) that attempts to discourage donations to organizations (such as NRLC) that comment on the actions of elected federal officials, by requiring the publication of the identities of donors to such organizations. Such restrictions would harm organizations engaged in advocacy on contentious issues, including pro-life issues, because many businessmen and others would be deterred from supporting advocacy organizations for fear of harassment, abuse, or boycotts by people who do not share their political opinions.

**(26) Would you oppose enactment of any legislation that would curb the right of private citizens to support advocacy organizations without being “outed” by the government?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

In its January 2010 ruling in *Citizens United v. FEC*, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution protects the right of corporations (which includes nonprofit corporations, such as NRLC) to spend money to express viewpoints regarding those who hold or seek political office. Subsequently, some members of Congress have advocated adoption of new restrictions to discourage corporations from exercising this right – for example, by telling corporations that if they engage in constitutionally protected speech on political matters, they will lose other rights.

**(27) Would you oppose any legislation that would penalize corporations, including nonprofit corporations such as NRLC, for engaging in the types of free speech that the U.S. Supreme Court has ruled are protected by the First Amendment?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

The federal Lobbying Disclosure Act is a law that already requires organizations that lobby Congress to report, on a quarterly basis, all of the legislative matters on which they contacted members of Congress or Executive Branch agencies, but the law does not require the reporting of the names of specific lawmakers or officials with whom they communicated. In January, 2010, President Obama urged Congress to adopt legislation under which every contact between lobbying organizations and lawmakers would be reported into a publicly accessible database. NRLC believes that such “contact reporting” is an infringement on the First Amendment right to petition government officials, is exceedingly burdensome, and serves no legitimate public policy purpose.

**(28) Would you oppose any legislation that would require members of Congress or Executive Branch officials to report, into a public database, contacts they receive from an advocacy organization such as NRLC, or that would require an advocacy organization such as NRLC to report its contacts with individual elected officials?**

**YES\_\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_\_**

Under the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act (McCain-Feingold), the Federal Election Commission promulgated new rules on defining what constitutes illegal “coordination” between candidates (including incumbent members of Congress and incumbent presidents) and citizen groups. The rules specifically do not require that there be “formal agreement or collaboration” with a member of Congress or other candidate in order for an expenditure by a citizen group or political action committee to be a “coordinated expenditure” and thus a campaign “contribution.”

Under the loose new definition of “coordination,” citizen groups and PACs that communicate with Congress on legislative matters and also conduct independent expenditures are at risk of being unintentionally “coordinated,” thereby making their independent expenditures illegal campaign “contributions.”

**(29) Would you support regulatory reforms and/or new legislation to reestablish that “coordination” means only a formal agreement or collaboration on a specific project between a candidate and a citizen group or PAC?**

**YES\_\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_\_**

## **ASSISTING SUICIDE**

Oregon, several other states, and the District of Columbia have adopted laws affirmatively legalizing prescription of lethal drugs to assist suicide in certain cases.

**(30) Would you oppose the Federal legalization of assisting suicide through lethal prescription as under the Oregon law?**

**YES\_\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_\_**

**(31) Would you support Federal law to strengthen policy against “assisting suicide,” including overturning the D.C. law?**

**YES\_\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_\_**

***PLEASE NOTE: The following questions are for  
Senate candidates only:***

## **NOMINATIONS TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT**

The fundamental documents of American democracy and freedom, the Constitution and the Declaration of Independence, have given us essential principles such as the “unalienable” right to life which must be respected by the courts.

**(32) Will you advocate for and support nomination to the U.S. Supreme Court of only well-qualified persons who will respect the sanctity of innocent human life, who will interpret the Constitution according to its text, and who will be willing to reconsider precedents inconsistent with the text of the Constitution?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

## **CEDAW**

The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) is a treaty, submitted to the Senate in 1980 but never ratified, that explicitly obligates ratifying nations to ensure equal access to “health care services, including those related to family planning,” and says that parties shall ensure that men and women have “the same rights to decide freely and responsibly on the number and spacing of their children.” These and other provisions have been construed by official

bodies, including the official UN CEDAW Compliance Committee, to rule that any type of limitation on abortion is a violation of the treaty. This is one of the reasons that the U.S. Senate has never ratified the CEDAW, and it is the reason that NRLC opposes ratification of the CEDAW.

**(33) Will you vote against ratification of the CEDAW?**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_



































1





|

|

1

2





|

|

1

2







|

|